

**COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY  
e-COURT SUBCOMMITTEE  
MEETING SUMMARY**

**January 23, 2006**  
1:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.

**Conference Call 602-542-9004**

---

---

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Andrew Hurwitz, *Chair*  
Karl Heckart, *AOC*  
Jeanne Hicks, *Clerks*  
Donald Jacobson, *Court Administrators*  
Michael Jeanes, *Clerks*  
Donna Killoughey Bird, *State Bar*  
Dennis Lusk, *Limited Jurisdiction*

**MEMBERS ABSENT**

Robert Brutinel, *General Jurisdiction*

**GUESTS**

Greg Eades, *AOC Legal Services*

**AOC STAFF**

Stewart Bruner, *ITD*

**WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Justice Hurwitz welcomed members to the call at about 1:30 p.m. and took the roll of those present. He reviewed the focus on our next milestone of presenting the list of principles to Commission on Technology (COT) at its March 3 meeting.

**DISCUSSION ABOUT REVISED PRINCIPLES**

Justice Hurwitz again engaged members in item-by-item discussion of the principles as revised to reflect decisions made in the previous meeting and a meeting last week between chair and staff. COT talking points were also reviewed and discussed in the meeting. Slight changes were made to the language of Principles 1 and 2. To bring the fundamental issue forward at the COT meeting, Principle 5 will be moved to the initial spot on the list.

Various talking points were generally revised to recast them into more COT-oriented rather than team-internal language in preparation for the presentation.

Stewart will circulate the revised principles to members prior to the next meeting.

**APPROACH TO COT PRESENTATION**

Members felt it wise to return to Karl's chart from the first meeting of pro's and con's associated with the various models of e-filing in the COT presentation and to move the multi-vendor-versus-single-vendor discussion to the front of the presentation. The committee feels strongly that multi-vendor is the correct approach, but COT or possibly AJC, needs to ratify that decision because of the large ramifications.

The presentation needs to mention Patti Noland's proposal to enable the Pima AGAVE case management system, currently being developed, to handle electronic case filing using the Maricopa model right out of the box, effectively standardizing the general jurisdiction filing interface as AGAVE is rolled out statewide to replace AZTEC. Patti will be on the agenda for the COT meeting, as well.

Stewart will add a brief history with a process overview and send out a stawman presentation prior to the next meeting.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Justice Hurwitz asked Stewart to circulate the principles with further revised wording and a first draft of the presentation before the next meeting. He also asked members to think hard about sources of funding for construction of a multi-vendor e-filing system.

The next meeting, another conference call, will take place sometime during the final two weeks of February, based on a poll of members' availability. The focus will be on completing the COT presentation and determining what members will address what content in the COT meeting (though Justice Hurwitz did volunteer to present).

After verifying that subcommittee members had no further business to discuss, Justice Hurwitz adjourned the meeting at 2:40 p.m.